M1.(a) (i) Voltmeter across terminals with nothing else connected to battery / no additional load. 🗸 1 (ii) This will give zero / virtually no current 🗸 1 VI øĪ (i) (b) Answer must clearly show power: εI and VI, with I cancelling out to give formula stated in the question \checkmark 1 Voltmeter connected across cell terminals ✓ (ii) Switch open, voltmeter records ε Switch closed, voltmeter records VBoth statements required for mark < Candidates who put the voltmeter in the wrong place can still achieve the second mark providing they give a detailed description which makes it clear that: To measure emf, the voltmeter should be placed across the cell with the external resistor disconnected And To measure V, the voltmeter should be connected across the external resistor when a current is being supplied by the cell 2 Vary external resistor and measure new value of V, for at least 7 different (c) values of external resistor 🗸 Precautions - switch off between readings / take repeat readings (to check that emf or internal resistance not changed significantly) 2 (d) Efficiency increases as external resistance increases \checkmark

> Explanation Efficiency = Power in R / total power generated $I^2R / I^2(R + r) = R / (R + r)$ So as R increases the ratio becomes larger or ratio of power in load to power in internal resistance increases \checkmark

[9]

2

M2.(a) 2.9% ✓

	Allow 3%	1
(b)	1 3.5×10 ³ seen ✓	1
	0.29 mm or 2.9 x 10⁴ m✔ must see 2 sf only	1
(c)	± 0.01 mm 🖌	1
(d)	Clear indication that at least 10 spaces have been measured to give a spacing = 5.24 mm ✓ spacing from at least 10 spaces Allow answer within range ±0.05	1
(e)	Substitution in $d \sin\theta = n\lambda \checkmark$ The 25 spaces could appear here as <i>n</i> with sin θ as 0.135 / 2.5	1
	<i>d</i> = 0.300 x 10 ³ m so number of lines = 3.34 x10 ³ ✓ <i>Condone error in powers of 10 in substitution</i> <i>Allow ecf from 1-4 value of spacing</i>	1

(f) Calculates % difference (4.6%) ✓



M3.(a) Straight line of best fit passing through all error bars \checkmark

- (b) $h_0 = 165 \pm 2 \text{ mm}\checkmark$
- (c) Clear attempt to determine gradient ✓

1

1

1

1

Correct readoffs (within $\frac{1}{2}$ square) for points **on line** more than 6 cm apart and correct substitution into gradient equation \checkmark

h,k gradient =(-) 0.862 mm K⁻¹ and negative sign quoted ✓ Condone negative sign Accept range -0.95 to -0.85

(d)
$$k = \frac{\text{candidate value for } h_0 k}{\text{candidate value for } h_0}$$

K-₁ ✓

=

Accept range 0.0055 to 0.0049

(e) for
$$h = 8000 \text{ mm}, d^{-1} = \frac{8000}{14.5}$$

 $d = 1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}$ 🖌

(f) Little confidence in this answer because One of
It is too far to take extrapolation ✓
OR
This is a very small diameter ✓

1 [10]

1

1

1

1

1

1